

ERRATA: Probabilistic Techniques in Analysis

Updated April 25, 2006

Page 3, line 13. A_1, \dots, A_n are independent if

$$\mathbb{P}(A_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap A_{i_j}) = \mathbb{P}(A_1) \cdots \mathbb{P}(A_{i_j})$$

for every subset $\{i_1, \dots, i_j\}$ of $\{1, \dots, n\}$.

Page 4, line -7. $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\cup_{n=j}^{\infty} A_n)$

Page 9, line 11. $x \in \mathbb{R}^d, A$ Borel.

Page 14, line 5. Brownian motion X_t

Page 15, lines 15-16. Let \mathcal{F}_t^0 be the σ -field of events that are in the \mathbb{P}^x completion of \mathcal{F}_t^{00} for every x .

Page 15, line -1. $\theta_t(\omega)(s) = \omega(t+s)$

Page 16, 5th display. $\dots |\mathcal{F}_2^{00}) = \mathbb{P}^{X_2}(\dots$

Page 18, line 11. In the definition of Y_2 it should be X_{t_j-s} .

Page 20, line -7. The last T on this line should be T_n .

Page 33, line 1. $X(\tau_{[a,b]})$

Page 34, line 7. $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}$ twice

Page 37, line 16. Add: "with $A_0 \equiv 0$ "

Page 43, line 15. $\langle M \rangle_a$

Page 44, line 7. \mathcal{F}_{a_j}

Page 44, line 16. \mathcal{F}_{a_j}

Page 46, line 14. $R_n \rightarrow \infty$

Page 48, line 1. $f''(X_{S_i})$

Page 49, line -14. \mathbb{P}^x

2 ERRATA

Page 52, line 11, $\int_s^{t+s} iue^{u^2(r-s-t)/2} e^{iu(X_r - X_s)} dX_r$

Page 56, line -1. $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^d$

Page 59, line -9. Since $\sum (a^i t^{i+1}/i!)^{1/2} < \infty$

Page 62, line 15. $\mathbb{E} (M_T^*)^p \leq c_1 \mathbb{E} \langle M \rangle_T^{p/2}$ and $\mathbb{E} \langle M \rangle_T^{p/2} \leq c_2 \mathbb{E} (M_T^*)^p$.

Page 67, line -10. defined for $t \in [k/2^i, (k+1)/2^i)$ by $r_i(t) = +1$

Page 68, line 9. $4\delta N$

Page 68, line 10. $(4\delta N)^{1/2}$

Page 72, line 4. \mathbb{P}_n

Page 84, lines -3, -2. If x and y are close together, then $B(x, r) - B(y, r)$ is contained in $B(x, r + |x - y|) - B(x, r - |x - y|)$, and similarly with the roles of x and y reversed, and so

Page 99, line -11. decreasing sequence

Page 109, line -8. V be a nonnegative smooth

Page 129, line 15 to line 18. Remove.

Page 129, line 22. Replace with the following.

So $\|h\|_2^2 = \lim \|Qf\|_2^2 = \beta^2 > 0$, or $h \neq 0$. Note

$$\begin{aligned} \|Qh - \beta h\|_2^2 &= \lim \|Q^2 f_n - \beta Q f_n\|_2^2 \\ &\leq \|Q\|_2^2 \lim \|Q f_n - \beta f_n\|_2^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since both h and Qh are continuous, $Qh = h$. □

Page 132, line -2. $|\varphi(X_{t2^n})|$

Page 205. Theorem 3.6 is incorrect as stated. This was pointed out by T. Lyons. The changes necessary are the following.

Page 205, line 9. $\leq c[|x - y|^{2-d} + |y - z|^{2-d}]$.

Page 205, line 10 to Page 206, line 2 should be replaced by the following.

Proof. By the symmetry of (3.10) in x and z , we may suppose $|z - y| \geq |x - y|$.

We first consider the case when $|x - y| \geq |x - z|/4$. Let $r = |x - z|$. Let x_1 be a point of D such that $\text{dist}(x_1, \partial D) \geq c_1 r$ and $|x - x_1| = r/16$,

where c_1 depends only on D ; the existence of c_1 follows from the fact that D is a bounded Lipschitz domain. By the boundary Harnack principle in $B(x, r/8) \cap D$ with the functions $g_D(\cdot, y)$ and $g_D(\cdot, z)$,

$$g_D(x, y)/g_D(x, z) \leq cg_D(x_1, y)/g_D(x_1, z).$$

Similarly, there exists $z_1 \in D$ such that $\text{dist}(z_1, \partial D) \geq c_1 r$, $|z_1 - z| = r/16$, and

$$g_D(y, z)/g_D(x_1, z) \leq cg_D(y, z_1)/g_D(x_1, z_1).$$

So it suffices to bound $g_D(x_1, y)g_D(y, z_1)/g_D(x_1, z_1)$.

Let z_2 be a point on $\partial B(z_1, c_1 r/2)$. Both x_1 and z_1 are a distance at least $c_1 r$ from the boundary of D and no more than $9r/8$ apart. Since D is a Lipschitz domain, we can find a curve connecting x_1 and z_2 that always is at least $c_1 r/4$ from $\partial D \cup \{z_1\}$ and whose length is no more than $c_2 r$, where c_2 depends only on the domain D . By scaling and Harnack's inequality (Theorem II.1.20), $g_D(x_1, z_1) \geq cg_D(z_2, z_1)$. Combining with (3.9), $g_D(x_1, z_1) \geq cr^{2-d}$. Since $|y - z_1| \geq |y - z| - |z - z_1| \geq 3r/16$, then $g_D(y, z_1) \leq cr^{2-d}$. We also have $|x_1 - y| \geq |x - y| - |x - x_1| \geq |x - y|/2$, so $g_D(x_1, y) \leq c|x - y|^{2-d}$. Substituting, we have

$$(3.11) \quad \frac{g_D(x, y)g_D(y, z)}{g_D(x, z)} \leq \frac{cg_D(x_1, y)g_D(y, z_1)}{g_D(x_1, z_1)} \leq c|x - y|^{2-d}.$$

The second case to consider is when $|x - y| < |x - z|/4$. Let $s = |x - y|$. If $w \in \partial B(x, 2s) \cap D$, then by Case 1 above,

$$(3.12) \quad \frac{g_D(x, y)g_D(y, w)}{g_D(x, w)} \leq c|x - y|^{2-d}.$$

The expression

$$k(\cdot) = \frac{g_D(x, y)g_D(y, \cdot)}{g_D(x, \cdot)}$$

is the Green function with pole at y for Brownian motion h -path transformed by the function $h(\cdot) = g_D(x, \cdot)$, hence is the Green function for Brownian motion conditioned to go to x before exiting D . Therefore $k(X_t)$ is a martingale under \mathbb{P}_h^z up to time $\tau_D \wedge T_{\{x, y\}}$. Since k is positive in $D - \{x, y\}$ and is 0 on ∂D , by optional stopping

$$\begin{aligned} k(z) &= \mathbb{E}_h^z k(X_0) \leq \mathbb{E}_h^z k(X_{T(B(x, 2s))}) \\ &\leq \sup_{w \in \partial B(x, 2s)} k(w). \end{aligned}$$

Comparing with (3.12) completes the proof. \square

Page 206, lines 6,7. \int_0^∞ twice

Page 206, lines 8-11. Replace by “*Proof.* (a)”

Page 207, line 1. $\leq c_1[|x - y|^{2-d} + |y - z|^{2-d}]$.

Page 207, line 6. $\leq c_1 \left[\int_D q(y)|x - y|^{2-d} dy + \int_D q(y)|z - y|^{2-d} dy \right]$

Page 207, line 7. Replace $\int_0^{\tau_D}$ by \int_0^∞ twice.

Page 207, line 14. \int_0^∞

Page 231, line 11. Replace y by r .

Page 233, line 17. proposition

Page 257, line -1. $\partial_j \partial_k Uf$ is in C^α .

Page 260, line 5. Using (4.5) and the fact that $|B_y| = cy^{d+1}$,

Page 260, line 10. $c \int_{C(0)} s^{1-d} |\nabla u(x, s)|^2 dx ds$

Page 262, line 4. $|\mathcal{F}_r] dr]$

Page 317, proof of Corollary 1.12. The proof should be replaced by the following.

Proof. Let $F(z) = f(z)/g(z)$. The hypothesis implies that $|F(z) - 1| < 1$ on γ . Let Γ be the image of γ under F . Then Γ is contained in the open disk of radius 1 centered at 1. $1/w$ is analytic in that disk, so by Cauchy’s integral theorem and a change of variables,

$$\int_\gamma \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz - \int_\gamma \frac{g'(z)}{g(z)} dz = \int_\gamma \frac{F'(z)}{F(z)} dz = \int_\Gamma \frac{dw}{w} = 0.$$

By the argument principle, we see that the number of zeroes of f and g in D must be the same. \square

Pages 321-322. The proof of Proposition V.2.2 is incorrect. The support theorem which is applied on page 322, line 18, can be used only if Z_{T_i} is at least some fixed distance from b , which will not always be the case. This was pointed out to us by K. Burdzy. (Incidentally, the proof in Durrett [1] also contains an error, although it is a much more subtle one.)

To repair the proof, delete the text from line 5 of page 321 to the end of page 322 and replace with the following.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Suppose the range of f omits more than two points of \mathbb{C} , say a and b . By looking at $2[(f(z) - a)/(b - a) - \frac{1}{2}]$, we may assume that the two points omitted are -1 and 1 .

Since f is entire, $|f'| > 0$ except for a countable set, and by the recurrence of Brownian motion, $\int_0^t |f'(Z_s)|^2 ds \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ (cf. Exercise 1). Hence $f(Z_t)$ is the time change of a Brownian motion (not killed or stopped). In particular, the range of f must be dense in \mathbb{C} .

Let ε be small and z_0 chosen so that if $|z - z_0| < \varepsilon$, $f(z)$ can be connected to 0 by a curve lying in $f(\mathbb{C}) \cap B(0, 1/8)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume $z_0 = 0$. Infinitely often $Z_t \in B(0, \varepsilon)$. Let L_t be the straight line segment connecting Z_t to 0 . The curve consisting of adding the line segment L_t to the end of Z_t is a closed curve homotopic to the single point 0 . So the curve consisting of adding $f(L_t)$ to the end of $f(Z_t)$ must also be homotopic to a single point. By Proposition 2.2, for t sufficiently large, this curve is not homotopic to a single point, a contradiction. \square

(2.2) Proposition. *Let L_t be as in the proof above. With probability one, there exists t_0 (depending on ω) such that if $t > t_0$, the curve formed by adding $f(L_t)$ to the end of $f(Z_t)$ is not homotopic to a single point.*

Proof. Step 1. First we define the Brownian word. Let

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 &= [-1/2, 1/2), \\ H_1 &= (-\infty, -1/2), \\ H_2 &= \{-\frac{1}{2} + yi : y > 0\}, \\ H_3 &= \{\frac{1}{2} + yi : y > 0\}, \\ H_4 &= (\frac{1}{2}, \infty), \\ H_5 &= \{\frac{1}{2} + yi : y < 0\}, \\ H_6 &= \{-\frac{1}{2} + yi : y < 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $T_1 = 0$. Let $b_i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ be such that $f(Z_{T_i}) \in H_{b_i}$, and

$$T_{i+1} = \inf\{t > T_i : f(Z_t) \in \cup_{j=0}^6 H_j - H_{b_i}\}.$$

Thus the T_i s are the times to hit one of the sets H_j different from the last one hit.

We form the sequence $0b_1b_2 \dots b_n$, and then form the reduced sequence as follows:

(*) Starting from the beginning of the sequence, the first time the pattern $b_{i_1}b_{i_2}b_{i_1}$ appears, delete the $b_{i_2}b_{i_1}$. Thus $\dots 3454 \dots$ becomes $\dots 34 \dots$ and $\dots 2165654 \dots$ becomes $\dots 21654 \dots$.

We apply the rule (*) repeatedly until the sequence cannot be reduced any further, and that is our reduced sequence.

Next, whenever in the reduced sequence we have the pair $23, 32, 56$, or 65 , replace it by $203, 302, 506$, or 605 , respectively. Then reduce this new sequence to get our final sequence.

Between successive 0s in the final sequence, we can only have 216, 612, 345, or 543. Replace these by a, a^{-1}, b, b^{-1} , respectively, remove the 0s, and this will be our Brownian word. Let $w(s, t)$ be the Brownian word derived from the path of Z between times s and t .

The *content* of a Brownian word will be the number of times the patterns $ab^{-1}, b^{-1}a, ba^{-1}$, or $a^{-1}b$ appear. For example, the word $ab^{-1}aaab^{-1}$ will have a content of 3.

Step 2. We show that given $\eta > 0$, there exists $\delta < 1/2$ such that if $z \in B(0, \delta)$ and D is \mathcal{F}_∞ -measurable, then

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \eta)\mathbb{P}^0(D \circ \theta_{\tau(B(0,1/2))}) &\leq \mathbb{P}^z(D \circ \theta_{\tau(B(0,1/2))}) \\ &\leq (1 + \eta)\mathbb{P}^0(D \circ \theta_{\tau(B(0,1/2))}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.2.1)$$

To see this, by the strong Markov property

$$\mathbb{P}^0(D \circ \theta_{\tau(B(0,1/2))}) = \mathbb{E}^z \varphi(Z_{\tau(B(0,1/2))}),$$

where $\varphi(w) = \mathbb{P}^w(D)$. We obtain (2.2.1) from Theorem I.1.19, the Harnack inequality, by taking $R = \frac{1}{2}$ and δ small enough.

Step 3. Let $U_0 = 0$, $\bar{T}_{i+1} = \inf\{t > U_i : |Z_t| > 1/2\}$, $U_i = \inf\{t > T_i : Z_t \in (-\delta, \delta)\}$. We show there exists c_1 independent of δ such that the content of the Brownian word $w(0, U_1)$ will be at least one with probability at least c_1 . To show this, by the support theorem, there is probability at least $c_2 > 0$ that started at 0, the Brownian motion goes once clockwise around -1 , once counterclockwise around 1, and then hits $(-\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4})$, say at time S , without any further windings about -1 or 1. So the Brownian word $w(0, S)$ is ab^{-1} . There is some chance that the first letters of the Brownian word $w(S, U_1)$ will be b . By symmetry there is equal probability that the first letter will be b^{-1} . Thus there is probability at most $\frac{1}{2}$ that the first letter will be b , and hence by the strong Markov property, the probability that $w(0, U_1)$ will have content at least one is $c_1 = c_2/2$.

Step 4. Fix $\eta < c_1/8$ and choose δ so that (2.2.1) holds. Let C_n be the content of $w(0, U_n)$. Let $X_n = C_{n+1} - C_n$. We will find a lower bound for $\mathbb{E}[X_n | \mathcal{F}_{U_n}]$.

Let D_n be the content of $w(U_n, U_{n+1})$. Let A_n^{-1} be the indicator of the event that the first letter of $w(U_n, U_{n+1})$ is a^{-1} and similarly B_n^{-1}, B_n, A_n . On the event that the last letter of $w(0, U_n)$ is a , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}^0[X_n | \mathcal{F}_{U_n}] &\geq \mathbb{E}[D_n(A_n + B_n) + (D_n + 1)B_n^{-1} - (D_n + 1)A_n^{-1} | \mathcal{F}_{U_n}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}^{Z(U_n)}[[D_0(A_0 + B_0) + (D_0 + 1)B_0^{-1} - (D_0 + 1)A_0^{-1}] \\ &\geq (1 - \eta)\mathbb{E}^0[D_0(A_0 + B_0 + B_0^{-1}) + B_0^{-1}] \\ &\quad - (1 + \eta)\mathbb{E}^0[D_0A_0^{-1} + A_0^{-1}] \\ &= (1 - \eta)3\mathbb{E}^0D_0/4 + (1 - \eta)\mathbb{E}^0B_0^{-1} \\ &\quad - (1 + \eta)\mathbb{E}^0D_0/4 - (1 + \eta)\mathbb{E}^0A_0^{-1} \\ &= (\frac{1}{2} - \eta)\mathbb{E}^0D_0 - 2\eta\mathbb{E}^0B_0^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

using the fact that we have symmetry about both the real and imaginary axes when we start from 0. Since $\mathbb{E}^0 D_0 \geq c_1$ by Step 3, we have that the conditional expectation is greater than $\frac{1}{4}c_1 - 2\eta = c_3$, which is positive by our choice of η .

We have the same estimate when the last letter of $w(0, U_n)$ is a^{-1} , b , or b^{-1} . If $w(0, U_n)$ has zero length, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}^0[X_n | \mathcal{F}_{U_n}] &= \mathbb{E}^0[D_n | \mathcal{F}_{U_n}] = \mathbb{E}^{Z(U_n)} D_0 \\ &\geq (1 - \eta)\mathbb{E}^0 D_0 \geq c_1/2. \end{aligned}$$

So in any case $\mathbb{E}[X_n | \mathcal{F}_{U_n}] \geq c_3 > 0$.

Step 5. We want to show that $\mathbb{E} X_n^2 \leq c_4 < \infty$. Whenever in the Brownian word there appears the pair ab^{-1} , the Brownian motion must have intersected H_0 . Similarly this happen if the pairs $b^{-1}a$, ba^{-1} , or $a^{-1}b$ appear. By the support theorem, Brownian motion started in H_0 will hit $(-\delta, \delta)$ with probability $c_5 > 0$ before hitting both the lines $\{x = -1\}$ and $\{x = 1\}$. So the probability that D_n will be larger than $2m$ is less than the probability that for m times the Brownian motion will hit H_0 and then hit both $\{x = -1\}$ and $\{x = 1\}$ before hitting $(-\delta, \delta)$. By the strong Markov property the probability of this is less than $(1 - c_5)^m$. Hence X_n has moments of all orders, and in particular, has a finite second moment c_4 that does not depend on n .

We can make a slightly stronger statement. Let \tilde{D}_n be the largest the content of $w(U_n, t)$ ever gets for $U_n \leq t \leq U_{n+1}$. Then \tilde{D}_n also has a second moment bounded by c_4 by the same argument.

Step 6. We prove $\liminf(C_n/n) > 0$ a.s. Let $Y_n = X_n - \mathbb{E}[X_n | \mathcal{F}_{U_n}]$. Then $\mathbb{E}[Y_n | \mathcal{F}_{U_n}] = 0$ and so $\sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i/i)$ is a martingale with second moment bounded by $c_4 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i^{-2} < \infty$. By the martingale convergence theorem, this martingale converges a.s. By Kronecker's lemma (see Chung [1], p. 123), $n^{-1}(\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i) \rightarrow 0$ a.s., or $\liminf C_n/n > 0$ a.s.

Step 7. We fill in the gaps between the times U_n . The above shows that for n large, the content of C_n will be larger than $c_6 n$. Let $M > 0$. If the content of $w(0, t)$ is to be less than M infinitely often for t arbitrarily large, then infinitely often \tilde{D}_n must be larger than $c_6 n/2$. But for $z \in (-\delta, \delta)$

$$\mathbb{P}^z(\tilde{D}_n > c_6 n/2) \leq (1 + \eta)\mathbb{P}^0(\tilde{D}_n > c_6 n/2) \leq c_7/n^2,$$

which is summable. By the Borel-Cantelli lemma, this only happens finitely often. Therefore for sufficiently large t , the content of $w(0, t)$ is greater than M ; since M is arbitrary, then the content of $w(0, t)$ tends to infinity almost surely. The conclusion of the proposition follows. \square

Page 345, line -5. $\sum_{j=k_m}^{\infty} [\text{dist}(z_{\theta_j}, \partial D)]^{1+\varepsilon}$

Page 346, line 6. continuous on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$

Page 335. The following changes are needed to Proposition 3.11.

Line 9. Should read $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{\lambda|f(e^{i\theta})-f(0)|} d\theta \leq 2e^{2\lambda^2 \|g^*(f)\|_{\infty}^2}$.

Line 10. ... = 1. Write $f = u + iv$. Because $|\nabla u| = |\nabla v| = |f'|$, $g^*(u) = g^*(v) = g^*(f)$, where $g^*(u)$ and $g^*(v)$ are defined analogously to $g^*(f)$ with f' replaced by ∇u or ∇v . Since ...

From line 12 to line -3, replace every appearance of f by u .

Line -3. Replace \square by the following:

Replacing u by $-u$ and adding,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{\lambda|u(e^{i\theta})|} d\theta \leq 2e^{\lambda^2/2}.$$

Repeating the argument with u replaced by v and using $|f| \leq |u| + |v|$ together with the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{\lambda|f(e^{i\theta})|} d\theta &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{\lambda|u(e^{i\theta})|} e^{\lambda|v(e^{i\theta})|} d\theta \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{2\lambda|u(e^{i\theta})|} d\theta \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{2\lambda|v(e^{i\theta})|} d\theta \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq 2e^{(2\lambda)^2/2}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Page 336, line 1. $\sup_{0 \leq s \leq r} |f_s(e^{i\theta})|$

Page 337, line 5. $2 \exp(2\lambda^2 c^2 \|g^*(f_r)\|_{\infty}^2) = 2 \exp(2\lambda^2 c^2 \log(1/(1-r)))$

Page 337, line 7. $\leq 2e^{-\lambda\alpha} \dots$

Page 338, line -14. $(E^- - \rho(E^+))$

Page 361, line -12. sun

Page 371, line 7. Show $\int_0^t |f'(Z_s)|^2 ds \rightarrow \infty$ a.s.

Page 376, line -8. *Canad. Bull. Math.* **39** (1996) 138–150.

Page 377, line 6. **101** (1995) 479–493.

- Page 381, line -4. *Stoch. Proc. and Applic.* **57** (1995) 319–337.
- Page 385, line 13. **123** (1995) 1075–1082.
- Page 386, line 5. In: *Essays on Fourier Analysis in Honor of Elias M. Stein*, 321–384. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1995.