

Large deviations for renormalized self-intersection local times of stable processes

Richard Bass* Xia Chen[†] Jay Rosen[‡]

March 5, 2004

Abstract

We study large deviations for the renormalized self-intersection local time of d -dimensional stable processes of index $\beta \in (2d/3, d]$. We find a difference between the upper and lower tail. In addition, we find that the behaviour of the lower tail depends critically on whether $\beta < d$ or $\beta = d$.

Running head: Intersection local times

Subject Classifications: Primary 60J55; Secondary 60G52

Keywords: Large deviations, stable processes, intersection local time, law of the iterated logarithm, self-intersections.

*Research partially supported by NSF grant #DMS-0244737

[†]Research partially supported by NSF grant #DMS-0102238.

[‡]Research partially supported by grants from the NSF and from PSC-CUNY.

1 Introduction

Let X_t be a non-degenerate d -dimensional stable process of index β . We assume that X_t is symmetric, i.e. $X_t \stackrel{d}{=} -X_t$, but we do not assume it is spherically symmetric. Thus

$$(1.1) \quad E \left(e^{i\lambda \cdot X_t} \right) = e^{-t\psi(\lambda)}$$

where $\psi(\lambda) \geq 0$ is continuous, positively homogeneous of degree β , i.e. $\psi(r\lambda) = r^\beta \psi(\lambda)$ for each $r \geq 0$, $\psi(-\lambda) = \psi(\lambda)$ and for some $0 < c < C < \infty$

$$(1.2) \quad c|\lambda|^\beta \leq \psi(\lambda) \leq C|\lambda|^\beta.$$

In studying the self intersections of $\{X_t; t \geq 0\}$ one is naturally led to try to give meaning to the formal expression

$$(1.3) \quad \int_0^t \int_0^s \delta_0(X_s - X_r) dr ds$$

where $\delta_0(x)$ is the Dirac delta ‘function’. Let $\{f_\epsilon(x); \epsilon > 0\}$ be an approximate identity and set

$$(1.4) \quad \int_0^t \int_0^s f_\epsilon(X_s - X_r) dr ds.$$

When $\beta > d$, so that necessarily $d = 1$ and $\{X_t; t \geq 0\}$ has local times $\{L_t^x; (x, t) \in R^1 \times R_+^1\}$, (1.4) converges as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ to $\frac{1}{2} \int (L_t^x)^2 dx$. Large deviations for this object have been studied in [7].

In this paper we assume that $\beta \leq d$. In this case (1.4) blows up as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. We consider instead

$$(1.5) \quad \gamma_{t,\epsilon} = \int_0^t \int_0^s f_\epsilon(X_s - X_r) dr ds - E \left\{ \int_0^t \int_0^s f_\epsilon(X_s - X_r) dr ds \right\}$$

and let

$$(1.6) \quad \gamma_t = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \gamma_{t,\epsilon}$$

whenever the limit exists. It is known that this happens if (and only if) $\beta > 2d/3$, and then γ_t is continuous in t almost surely, [22, 23, 26]. In this case we refer to γ_t as the renormalized self-intersection local time for the process X_t . Renormalized self-intersection local time, originally studied by Varadhan [28] for its role in quantum field theory, turns out to be the right tool for

the solution of certain “classical” problems such as the asymptotic expansion of the area of the Wiener and stable sausages in the plane and fluctuations of the range of stable random walks. See Le Gall [15, 14], Le Gall-Rosen [17] and Rosen [25]. In Rosen [27] we show that γ_t can be characterized as the continuous process of zero quadratic variation in the decomposition of a natural Dirichlet process. For further work on renormalized self-intersection local times see Dynkin [10], Le Gall [16], Bass and Khoshnevisan [3], Rosen [26] and Marcus and Rosen [21].

The goal of this paper is to study the large deviations of γ_t , generalizing the recent work for planar Brownian motion of the first two authors, [4].

Theorem 1 *Let X_t be a symmetric stable process of order $2d/3 < \beta \leq d$ in R^d . Then for some $0 < a_\psi < \infty$ and any $h > 0$*

$$(1.7) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log P(\gamma_t \geq ht^2) = -h^{\beta/d} a_\psi.$$

The constant a_ψ is described in Section 4 and is related to the best possible constant in a Gagliardo-Nirenberg type inequality.

γ_t is not symmetric. In fact the lower tail has very different behaviour.

Theorem 2 *Let X_t be a symmetric stable process of order $\beta > 2d/3$ in R^d . Then we can find some $0 < b_\psi < \infty$ such that if $\beta < d$*

$$(1.8) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log P(-\gamma_t \geq t) = -b_\psi,$$

while if $\beta = d$

$$(1.9) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log P(-\gamma_1 \geq p_1(0) \log t) = -b_\psi,$$

where $p_t(x)$ is the continuous density function for X_t .

We are unable to identify the constant $0 < b_\psi < \infty$.

Using the scaling property $\{X(ts); s \geq 0\} \stackrel{d}{=} t^{1/\beta} \{X(s); s \geq 0\}$ of the stable process it is easy to check that

$$(1.10) \quad \gamma_t \stackrel{d}{=} t^{2-d/\beta} \gamma_1.$$

Thus (1.7)-(1.9) are equivalent to

$$(1.11) \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h^{\beta/d}} \log P(\gamma_1 \geq h) = -a_\psi,$$

$$(1.12) \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h^{\beta/(d-\beta)}} \log P(-\gamma_1 \geq h) = -b_\psi, \quad \beta \in (2d/3, d)$$

$$(1.13) \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{e^{p_1(0)h}} \log P(-\gamma_1 \geq h) = -b_\psi, \quad \beta = d.$$

(1.11)-(1.12) show that

$$(1.14) \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h} \log P(|\gamma_1|^{\beta/d} \geq h) = -a_\psi$$

which implies that

$$(1.15) \quad E(e^{\lambda|\gamma_1|^{\beta/d}}) \begin{cases} < \infty & \text{if } \lambda < a_\psi^{-1} \\ = \infty & \text{if } \lambda > a_\psi^{-1}. \end{cases}$$

Our large deviation results lead to the following law of the iterated logarithm (LIL) type results.

Theorem 3 *Let X_t be a symmetric stable process of order $2d/3 < \beta \leq d$ in R^d . Then*

$$(1.16) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\gamma_t}{t^{(2-d/\beta)}(\log \log t)^{d/\beta}} = a_\psi^{-d/\beta} \quad a.s.$$

Theorem 4 *Let X_t be a symmetric stable process of order $\beta > 2d/3$ in R^d . If $\beta < d$ then*

$$(1.17) \quad \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\gamma_t}{t^{(2-d/\beta)}(\log \log t)^{d/\beta-1}} = -b_\psi^{-(d/\beta-1)} \quad a.s.$$

while if $\beta = d$ then

$$(1.18) \quad \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t \log \log \log t} \gamma_t = -p_1(0) \quad a.s.$$

The methods needed for this paper are very different from those used in [4] for planar Brownian motion. In that case, and more generally when $\beta = d$, the upper bound for large deviations for γ_t comes from a soft argument involving scaling. This argument breaks down when $\beta < d$. Instead we obtain the upper bound using careful moment arguments developed in sections 2 and 3.

Another major difference between this paper and [4] is in the proof of the lower bound for large deviations for $-\gamma_t$ when $\beta < d$. Suppose we divide the time interval $[0, n]$ into subintervals $I_k = [k, k+1]$, $k = 0, \dots, n-1$,

let $\Gamma(I_k)$ denote renormalized self-intersection local time for the piece of the path generated by times in I_k , and let $A(I_j; I_k)$ denote the intersection local time for the two pieces generated by times in I_j and I_k when $j \neq k$. Then the major contribution to the renormalized self-intersection local time for planar Brownian motion on the interval $[0, n]$ comes from $\sum_{j < k} [A(I_j; I_k) - EA(I_j; I_k)]$; the contribution from $\sum_k \Gamma(I_k)$ is smaller. In contrast, when $\beta < d$, both contributions are of the same order of magnitude. As a result, the lower bound for $-\gamma_t$ when $\beta < d$ requires a much more delicate argument.

Our paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we obtain bounds on exponential moments of the intersection local time for two independent processes, which is then used in section 3, following an approach due to Le Gall, to obtain bounds on exponential moments of the renormalized self-intersection local time γ_t , and in particular to obtain an exponential approximation of γ_t by its regularization $\gamma_{t,\epsilon}$. Together with some results from [8], this allows us to prove Theorem 1 in section 4. In sections 5 and 6 we prove Theorem 2 on the lower tail of γ_t . Finally, these results are used in sections 7 and 8 to prove the LIL's of Theorems 3 and 4 respectively.

We thank Evarist Giné for supplying the elegant proof of Lemma 1.

2 Intersection local times

Let X_t, X'_t be two independent copies of the symmetric stable process of order β in R^d with characteristic exponent ψ and set

$$(2.1) \quad \alpha_{t,\epsilon} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_0^t \int_0^t \int_{R^d} f_\epsilon(X_s - X'_r) dr ds$$

where f_ϵ is an approximate δ -function at zero, i.e. $f_\epsilon(x) = f(x/\epsilon)/\epsilon^d$ with $f \in \mathcal{S}(R^d)$ a positive, symmetric function with $\int f dx = 1$. If $\hat{f}(p)$ denotes the Fourier transform of f then $\hat{f}(\epsilon p)$ is the Fourier transform of f_ϵ and we have from (2.1)

$$(2.2) \quad \alpha_{t,\epsilon} = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_0^t \int_0^t \int_{R^d} e^{ip \cdot (X_s - X'_r)} \hat{f}(\epsilon p) dp dr ds.$$

Theorem 5 *Let X_t, X'_t be independent copies of a symmetric stable process of order $d/2 < \beta \leq d$ in R^d . Then for all $\rho > 0$ sufficiently small we can find some $\theta > 0$ such that*

$$(2.3) \quad \sup_{\epsilon, \epsilon', t > 0} E \left(\exp \left\{ \theta \left| \frac{\alpha_{t,\epsilon} - \alpha_{t,\epsilon'}}{|\epsilon - \epsilon'|^\rho t^{2-(d+\rho)/\beta}} \right|^{\beta/(d+\rho)} \right\} \right) < \infty.$$

Furthermore,

$$(2.4) \quad \lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\epsilon, \epsilon', t > 0} E \left(\exp \left\{ \theta \left| \frac{\alpha_{t, \epsilon} - \alpha_{t, \epsilon'}}{|\epsilon - \epsilon'|^\rho t^{2-(d+\rho)/\beta}} \right|^{\beta/(d+\rho)} \right\} \right) = 1.$$

Proof of Theorem 5: From (2.2) we have that

$$(2.5) \quad \alpha_{t, \epsilon} - \alpha_{t, \epsilon'} = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_0^t \int_0^t \int_{R^d} e^{ip \cdot (X_s - X'_r)} (\widehat{f}(\epsilon p) - \widehat{f}(\epsilon' p)) dp dr ds.$$

Hence

$$(2.6) \quad E(\{\alpha_{t, \epsilon} - \alpha_{t, \epsilon'}\}^n) = (2\pi)^{-nd} \int_{[0, t]^n} \int_{[0, t]^n} \int_{R^{dn}} E \left(e^{i \sum_{k=1}^n p_k (X_{s_k} - X'_{r_k})} \prod_{j=1}^n \{\widehat{f}(\epsilon p_j) - \widehat{f}(\epsilon' p_j)\} dp_j dr_j ds_j \right).$$

We then use the decomposition

$$[0, t]^n \times [0, t]^n = \bigcup_{\pi, \pi'} D_n(\pi, \pi')$$

where the union runs over all pairs of permutations π, π' of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and $D_n(\pi, \pi') = \{(r_1, \dots, r_n, s_1, \dots, s_n) \mid r_{\pi_1} < \dots < r_{\pi_n} \leq t, s_{\pi'_1} < \dots < s_{\pi'_n} \leq t\}$. Using this we then obtain

$$(2.7) \quad E(\{\alpha_{t, \epsilon} - \alpha_{t, \epsilon'}\}^n) = (2\pi)^{-nd} \sum_{\pi, \pi'} \int_{D_n(\pi, \pi')} \int_{R^{dn}} E \left(e^{i \sum_{k=1}^n p_k (X_{s_k} - X'_{r_k})} \prod_{j=1}^n \{\widehat{f}(\epsilon p_j) - \widehat{f}(\epsilon' p_j)\} dp_j dr_j ds_j \right).$$

On $D_n(\pi, \pi')$ we can write

$$(2.8) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n p_k (X_{s_k} - X'_{r_k}) = \sum_{k=1}^n u_{\pi, k} (X_{r_{\pi_k}} - X_{r_{\pi_{k-1}}}) - \sum_{k=1}^n u_{\pi', k} (X'_{s_{\pi'_k}} - X'_{s_{\pi'_{k-1}}})$$

where for any permutation π we set $u_{\pi, k} = \sum_{j=k}^n p_{\pi_j}$. Hence on $D_n(\pi, \pi')$

$$(2.9) \quad E \left(e^{i \sum_{k=1}^n p_k (X_{s_k} - X'_{r_k})} \right) = e^{-\sum_{k=1}^n \psi(u_{\pi, k})(r_{\pi_k} - r_{\pi_{k-1}})} e^{-\sum_{k=1}^n \psi(u_{\pi', k})(s_{\pi'_k} - s_{\pi'_{k-1}})}.$$

We will use the bound $|\widehat{f}(\epsilon p_j) - \widehat{f}(\epsilon' p_j)| \leq C|\epsilon - \epsilon'|^\rho |p_j|^\rho$ for any $\rho \leq 1$. Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we have

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{R^{dn}} E \left(e^{i \sum_{k=1}^n p_k (X_{s_k} - X'_{r_k})} \right) \prod_{j=1}^n |p_j|^\rho dp_j \\ & \leq \left(\int_{R^{dn}} e^{-2 \sum_{k=1}^n \psi(u_{\pi, k})(r_{\pi_k} - r_{\pi_{k-1}})} \prod_{j=1}^n |p_j|^\rho dp_j \right)^{1/2} \\ & \quad \left(\int_{R^{dn}} e^{-2 \sum_{k=1}^n \psi(u_{\pi', k})(s_{\pi'_k} - s_{\pi'_{k-1}})} \prod_{j=1}^n |p_j|^\rho dp_j \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now $\prod_{j=1}^n |p_j| = \prod_{j=1}^n |p_{\pi_j}| = \prod_{j=1}^n |u_{\pi, j} - u_{\pi, j+1}| \leq \prod_{j=1}^n |u_{\pi, j}| + |u_{\pi, j+1}|$ so that, using (1.2) for the second inequality

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{R^{2n}} e^{-2 \sum_{k=1}^n \psi(u_{\pi, k})(r_{\pi_k} - r_{\pi_{k-1}})} \prod_{j=1}^n |p_j|^\rho dp_j \\ & \leq \sum_h \int_{R^n} e^{-2 \sum_{k=1}^n \psi(u_{\pi, k})(r_{\pi_k} - r_{\pi_{k-1}})} \prod_{j=1}^n |u_{\pi, j}|^{h_j \rho} du_{\pi, j} \\ & \leq \sum_h \int_{R^n} e^{-c \sum_{k=1}^n |u_{\pi, k}|^\beta (r_{\pi_k} - r_{\pi_{k-1}})} \prod_{j=1}^n |u_{\pi, j}|^{h_j \rho} du_{\pi, j} \\ & \leq C^n \sum_h \prod_{j=1}^n (r_{\pi_k} - r_{\pi_{k-1}})^{-(d+h_j \rho)/\beta} \end{aligned}$$

where the sum runs over all $h = (h_1, \dots, h_n)$ such that each $h_j = 0, 1$ or 2 and $\sum_{j=1}^n h_j = n$.

Hence, taking $\rho > 0$ sufficiently small that $(d + 2\rho)/2\beta < 1$ we have

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} E \left(\left| \frac{\alpha_{t, \epsilon} - \alpha_{t, \epsilon'}}{|\epsilon - \epsilon'|^\rho} \right|^n \right) & \leq C^n (n!)^2 \left(\sum_h \int_{r_1 < \dots < r_n \leq t} \prod_{j=1}^n (r_j - r_{j-1})^{-(d+h_j \rho)/2\beta} dr_j \right)^2 \\ & \leq C^n \left(t^{n(1-(d+\rho)/2\beta)} \frac{n!}{\Gamma(n(1-(d+\rho)/2\beta))} \right)^2 \\ & \leq C^n t^{2n(1-(d+\rho)/2\beta)} (n!)^{(d+\rho)/\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by Hölder's inequality

$$E \left(\left| \frac{\alpha_{t, \epsilon} - \alpha_{t, \epsilon'}}{|\epsilon - \epsilon'|^\rho t^{2-(d+\rho)/\beta}} \right|^{n\beta/(d+\rho)} \right) \leq E \left(\left| \frac{\alpha_{t, \epsilon} - \alpha_{t, \epsilon'}}{|\epsilon - \epsilon'|^\rho t^{2-(d+\rho)/\beta}} \right|^n \right)^{\beta/(d+\rho)}$$

$$(2.13) \quad \leq C^n n!.$$

Our Theorem follows easily from this. \square

If we set

$$(2.14) \quad \alpha_{s,t,\epsilon} \stackrel{def}{=} \int_0^s \int_0^t f_\epsilon(X_s - X'_r) dr ds$$

then by the same method we can show that

$$(2.15) \quad \alpha_{s,t} = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \alpha_{s,t,\epsilon}$$

exists a.s. and in all L^p spaces and for some $\theta > 0$

$$(2.16) \quad \sup_{s,t>0} E \left(\exp \left\{ \theta \left| \frac{\alpha_{s,t}}{(st)^{1-d/2\beta}} \right|^{\beta/d} \right\} \right) < \infty.$$

Let $p_t(x)$ denote the density function for X_t started at the origin.

Theorem 6 *Let X_t, X'_t be independent copies of a symmetric stable process of order $d/2 < \beta < d$ in R^d . Let $P^{(x_0, y_0)}$ be the joint law of (X_t, X'_t) when X_t is started at x_0 and X'_t is started at y_0 . Then*

$$(2.17) \quad E^{(x_0, y_0)}(\alpha_{s,t}) \leq c_\psi [s^{2-d/\beta} + t^{2-d/\beta} - (s+t)^{2-d/\beta}]$$

where

$$(2.18) \quad c_\psi = \frac{p_1(0)}{(d/\beta - 1)(2 - d/\beta)}.$$

If $x_0 = y_0$, then we have equality in (2.17).

If $\beta = d$ then we obtain

$$(2.19) \quad E^{(x_0, y_0)}(\alpha_{s,t}) \leq p_1(0)[(s+t) \log(s+t) - t \log t - s \log s]$$

with equality if $x_0 = y_0$.

Proof of Theorem 6: We have

$$(2.20) \quad \begin{aligned} & E^{(x_0, y_0)} \left(\int_0^s \int_0^t f_\epsilon(X_r - X'_u) dr du \right) \\ &= \int_0^s \int_0^t \int f_\epsilon(x - y) p_r(x - x_0) p_u(y - y_0) dx dy dr du \\ &= \int_0^s \int_0^t \int f_\epsilon(x) p_r(x + y - (x_0 - y_0)) p_u(y) dx dy dr du \\ &= \int_0^s \int_0^t \int f_\epsilon(x) p_{r+u}(x - (x_0 - y_0)) dx dr du \end{aligned}$$

where the last line follows from the semigroup property. Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ and using the fact that (2.15) converges in L^1 ,

$$E^{(x_0, y_0)}(\alpha_{s,t}) = \int_0^s \int_0^t p_{r+u}(x_0 - y_0) dr du.$$

Using symmetry the right hand side is less than or equal to

$$\int_0^s \int_0^t \frac{p_1(0)}{(r+u)^{d/\beta}} dr du$$

with equality when $x_0 = y_0$. Some routine calculus completes the proof. \square

3 Renormalized self-intersection local times

Let X_t be a symmetric stable process of order β in R^d . For any random variable Y we set $\{Y\}_0 = Y - E(Y)$. For each bounded Borel set $B \subseteq R_+^2$ let

$$(3.1) \quad \gamma_\epsilon(B) = \left\{ \int_B \int f_\epsilon(X_s - X_r) dr ds \right\}_0.$$

We set $\gamma_{t,\epsilon} = \gamma_\epsilon(B_t)$ where $B_t = \{(r, s) \in R_+^2 \mid 0 \leq r \leq s \leq t\}$.

Using the scaling $X_{\lambda s} \stackrel{d}{=} \lambda^{1/\beta} X_s$ and $f_{\lambda\epsilon}(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda^d} f_\epsilon(x/\lambda)$ we have

$$(3.2) \quad \gamma_\epsilon(B) \stackrel{d}{=} \lambda^{-(2-d/\beta)} \gamma_{\lambda^{1/\beta}\epsilon}(\lambda B).$$

Theorem 7 *Let X_t be a symmetric stable process of order $\beta > 2d/3$ in R^d . Then for all $\rho > 0$ sufficiently small we can find some $\theta > 0$ such that*

$$(3.3) \quad \sup_{\epsilon, \epsilon', t > 0} E \left(\exp \left\{ \theta \left| \frac{\gamma_{t,\epsilon} - \gamma_{t,\epsilon'}}{|\epsilon - \epsilon'|^\rho t^{2-(d+\rho)/\beta}} \right|^{\beta/(d+\rho)} \right\} \right) < \infty.$$

Proof of Theorem 7: Taking $\lambda = 1/t$ and $B = B_t$ in (3.2) we see that it suffices to prove (3.3) when $t = 1$. We adapt a technique pioneered by Le Gall, [13].

Let

$$(3.4) \quad A_k^n = [(2k-2)2^{-n}, (2k-1)2^{-n}] \times [(2k-1)2^{-n}, (2k)2^{-n}].$$

Note that $B_1 = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \cup_{k=1}^{2^{n-1}} A_k^n$ so that for any $\epsilon > 0$

$$(3.5) \quad \gamma_{1,\epsilon} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{2^{n-1}} \gamma_{\epsilon}(A_k^n).$$

We will use the following lemma whose proof is given at the end of this section.

Lemma 1 *Let $0 < p \leq 1$ and let $\{Y_k(\zeta)\}_{k \geq 1}$ be a family (indexed by ζ) of sequences of i.i.d. real valued random functions such that $E(Y_k(\zeta)) = 0$ and*

$$(3.6) \quad \limsup_{\theta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\zeta} E e^{\theta |Y_1(\zeta)|^p} = 1.$$

Then for some $\lambda > 0$,

$$(3.7) \quad \sup_{n,\zeta} E \exp \left\{ \lambda \left| \sum_{k=1}^n Y_k(\zeta) / \sqrt{n} \right|^p \right\} < \infty.$$

By (2.4), for some $\rho > 0$

$$(3.8) \quad \limsup_{\theta \rightarrow 0, \epsilon, \epsilon' > 0} E \left(\exp \left\{ \theta \left| \frac{\gamma_{\epsilon}(A_1^1) - \gamma_{\epsilon'}(A_1^1)}{|\epsilon - \epsilon'|^{\rho}} \right|^{\beta/(d+\rho)} \right\} \right) = 1.$$

Hence by our lemma, for some $\lambda > 0$,

$$(3.9) \quad e^{\phi} := \sup_{N, \epsilon, \epsilon' > 0} \left(E \left(\exp \left\{ \lambda \left| \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{2^{N-1}} \{\gamma_{\epsilon}(2^{(N-1)} A_k^N) - \gamma_{\epsilon'}(2^{(N-1)} A_k^N)\}}{2^{(N-1)/2} |\epsilon - \epsilon'|^{\rho}} \right|^{\beta/(d+\rho)} \right\} \right) \right)$$

is finite.

Since $\beta > \frac{2}{3}d$, for $\rho > 0$ sufficiently small

$$(3.10) \quad a := \frac{3}{2} \beta / (d + \rho) - 1 > 0.$$

Write

$$(3.11) \quad b_1 = \lambda 2^{-a} \quad \text{and} \quad b_N = \lambda 2^{-a} \prod_{j=2}^N (1 - 2^{-aj}) \quad N = 2, 3, \dots$$

Then for any integer $N \geq 1$, by Hölder's inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.12) \quad \Psi_{\epsilon, \epsilon', N} &:= E \left(\exp \left\{ b_N \left| \frac{\sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^{2^{n-1}} \{\gamma_{\epsilon}(A_k^n) - \gamma_{\epsilon'}(A_k^n)\}}{|\epsilon - \epsilon'|^{\rho}} \right|^{\beta/(d+\rho)} \right\} \right) \\
&\leq \left(E \left(\exp \left\{ \frac{b_N}{(1 - 2^{-aN})} \left| \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \sum_{k=1}^{2^{n-1}} \{\gamma_{\epsilon}(A_k^n) - \gamma_{\epsilon'}(A_k^n)\}}{|\epsilon - \epsilon'|^{\rho}} \right|^{\beta/(d+\rho)} \right\} \right) \right)^{1-2^{-aN}} \\
&\quad \times \left(E \left(\exp \left\{ b_N 2^{aN} \left| \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{2^{N-1}} \{\gamma_{\epsilon}(A_k^N) - \gamma_{\epsilon'}(A_k^N)\}}{|\epsilon - \epsilon'|^{\rho}} \right|^{\beta/(d+\rho)} \right\} \right) \right)^{2^{-aN}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking $\lambda = 2^{N-1}$ in (3.2) we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.13) \quad &\sum_{k=1}^{2^{N-1}} \{\gamma_{\epsilon}(A_k^N) - \gamma_{\epsilon'}(A_k^N)\} \\
&\stackrel{d}{=} 2^{-(2-d/\beta)(N-1)} \sum_{k=1}^{2^{N-1}} \{\gamma_{\epsilon 2^{(N-1)/\beta}}(2^{(N-1)} A_k^N) - \gamma_{\epsilon' 2^{(N-1)/\beta}}(2^{(N-1)} A_k^N)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using (3.10), we note that

$$(3.14) \quad \left(2 - \frac{d}{\beta} \right) - \frac{\rho}{\beta} - a \frac{(d + \rho)}{\beta} = 1/2.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.15) \quad &2^{aN} \left| \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{2^{N-1}} \{\gamma_{\epsilon}(A_k^N) - \gamma_{\epsilon'}(A_k^N)\}}{|\epsilon - \epsilon'|^{\rho}} \right|^{\beta/(d+\rho)} \\
&\leq 2^a \left| \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{2^{N-1}} \{\gamma_{\epsilon 2^{(N-1)/\beta}}(2^{(N-1)} A_k^N) - \gamma_{\epsilon' 2^{(N-1)/\beta}}(2^{(N-1)} A_k^N)\}}{2^{(N-1)/2} |\epsilon 2^{(N-1)/\beta} - \epsilon' 2^{(N-1)/\beta}|^{\rho}} \right|^{\beta/(d+\rho)}
\end{aligned}$$

in law. Using this, the finiteness of (3.9), and the fact that $b_N 2^a \leq \lambda$ for the last line of (3.12), and (3.11) and the fact that $1 - 2^{-aN} < 1$ for the second line of (3.12) we have that

$$(3.16) \quad \Psi_{\epsilon, \epsilon', N} \leq \Psi_{\epsilon, \epsilon', N-1} \exp\{\phi 2^{-aN}\}.$$

Inductively,

$$\Psi_{\epsilon, \epsilon', N} \leq \exp\{\phi 2^{-a}(1 - 2^{-a})^{-1}\}.$$

Letting $N \rightarrow \infty$, our Theorem follows by (3.5) and Fatou's lemma. \square

It follows from our Theorem and Kolmogorov's continuity theorem that

$$(3.17) \quad \gamma_t := \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \gamma_{\epsilon, t}$$

exists a.s and in all L^p spaces.

Furthermore, it follows from our Theorem that for some $\rho, \theta > 0$

$$(3.18) \quad \sup_{\epsilon, t > 0} E \left(\exp \left\{ \theta \left| \frac{\gamma_t - \gamma_{t, \epsilon}}{\epsilon^\rho t^{2-(d+\rho)/\beta}} \right|^{\beta/(d+\rho)} \right\} \right) < \infty.$$

Note that, since for $\rho > 0$ sufficiently small $\beta/(d+\rho) > 1/2$, it follows that for any $\lambda, \delta > 0$

$$(3.19) \quad E(\exp\{\lambda|\gamma_t - \gamma_{t, \epsilon}|^{1/2}\}) \leq e^{\lambda\delta t} + E(\exp\{\lambda|\gamma_t - \gamma_{t, \epsilon}|^{1/2}\} \mathbf{1}_{\{|\gamma_t - \gamma_{t, \epsilon}| \geq (\delta t)^2\}}) \\ \leq e^{\lambda\delta t} + E \left(\exp \left\{ \lambda \left| \frac{\gamma_t - \gamma_{t, \epsilon}}{(\delta t)^{2-(d+\rho)/\beta}} \right|^{\beta/(d+\rho)} \right\} \right).$$

Using (3.18) we conclude that for any $\lambda > 0$

$$(3.20) \quad \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log E(\exp\{\lambda|\gamma_t - \gamma_{t, \epsilon}|^{1/2}\}) = 0.$$

For later reference we note that arguments similar to those used in proving our Theorem show that for some $\theta > 0$

$$(3.21) \quad \sup_{t > 0} E \left(\exp \left\{ \theta \left| \frac{\gamma_t}{t^{2-d/\beta}} \right|^{\beta/d} \right\} \right) < \infty.$$

(In fact, by scaling we only need this for $t = 1$).

Proof of Lemma 1: Let $\psi_p(x) = e^{x^p} - 1$ for large x and linear near the origin so that $\psi_p(x)$ is convex. We use $\|\cdot\|_{\psi_p}$ to denote the norm of the Orlicz space L_{ψ_p} with Young's function ψ_p . The assumption (3.6) of our Lemma implies that for some $M < \infty$

$$(3.22) \quad \sup_{\zeta} \|Y_1(\zeta)\|_{\psi_p} \leq M.$$

By Theorem 6.21 of [18], if ξ_k are i.i.d. copies of a mean zero random variable $\xi_1 \in L_{\psi_p}$ then for some constant K_p depending only on p

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k \right\|_{\psi_p} \leq K_p \left(\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k \right\|_{L_1} + \left\| \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |\xi_k| \right\|_{\psi_p} \right).$$

Using Prop 4.3.1 of [11], for some constant C_p depending only on p

$$\left\| \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |\xi_k| \right\|_{\psi_p} \leq C_p (\log n) \|\xi_1\|_{\psi_p}.$$

Since the ξ_k are i.i.d. and mean zero

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k \right\|_{L_1} \leq \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k \right\|_{L_2} \leq \sqrt{n} \|\xi_1\|_{L_2}.$$

Thus we have

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k / \sqrt{n} \right\|_{\psi_p} \leq D_p \left(\|\xi_1\|_{L_2} + \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}} \|\xi_1\|_{\psi_p} \right)$$

for some constant D_p depending only on p . Our Lemma follows immediately from this. \square

4 Large deviations for renormalized self-intersection local times

Let

$$(4.1) \quad \mathcal{E}_\psi(f, f) := \int_{R^d} \psi(p) |\widehat{f}(p)|^2 dp.$$

and set

$$(4.2) \quad \mathcal{F}_\psi = \{f \in L^2(R^d) \mid \|f\|_2 = 1, \mathcal{E}_\psi(f, f) < \infty\}.$$

The following Lemma is proven in Section 2 of [8].

Lemma 2 *If $\beta > d/2$ then for any $\lambda > 0$*

$$(4.3) \quad M_\psi(\lambda) := \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}_\psi} \left\{ \lambda \|f\|_4^2 - \mathcal{E}_\psi(f, f) \right\} < \infty.$$

and

$$(4.4) \quad M_\psi(\lambda) = \lambda^{2\beta/(2\beta-d)} M_\psi(1).$$

Furthermore,

$$(4.5) \quad \kappa_\psi := \inf \left\{ C \mid \|f\|_{2p} \leq C \|f\|_2^{1-d/2\beta} [\mathcal{E}_\psi^{1/2}(f, f)]^{d/2\beta} \right\} < \infty$$

and

$$(4.6) \quad M_\psi(1) = \frac{2\beta - d}{d} \left(\frac{d\kappa_\psi^2}{2\beta} \right)^{2\beta/(2\beta-d)}.$$

We write $M_\psi = M_\psi(1)$ and let

$$(4.7) \quad K_\psi = \frac{d}{\beta} \left(\frac{2\beta - d}{2\beta M_\psi} \right)^{(2\beta-d)/d}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1: We show that if X_t is a symmetric stable process of order $\beta > 2d/3$ in R^d then

$$(4.8) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log P(\gamma_t \geq t^2) = -2^{\beta/d-1} K_\psi.$$

(This defines a_ψ of (1.7)).

Let h be a positive, symmetric function in the Schwartz class $\mathcal{S}(R^d)$ with $\int h dx = 1$, and note that $f = h * h$ has the same properties and $f_\epsilon = h_\epsilon * h_\epsilon$. Using this, observe that

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \int_0^s f_\epsilon(X_s - X_r) dr ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_0^t f_\epsilon(X_s - X_r) dr ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{R^d} \left(\int_0^t h_\epsilon(X_s - x) ds \right)^2 dx \end{aligned}$$

hence, by Theorem 5 of [8], for any $\lambda > 0$,

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log E \exp \left\{ \lambda \left(\int_0^t \int_0^s f_\epsilon(X_s - X_r) dr ds \right)^{1/2} \right\} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log E \exp \left\{ \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\int_{R^d} \left(\int_0^t h_\epsilon(X_s - x) ds \right)^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \right\} \\ &= \sup_{g \in \mathcal{F}_\psi} \left\{ \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\int_{R^d} |(g^2 * h_\epsilon)(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} - \mathcal{E}_\psi(g, g) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For each fixed $\epsilon > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.11) \quad & E \left(\int_0^t \int_0^s f_\epsilon(X_s - X_r) dr ds \right) \\
&= \int_{R^d} \int_0^t \int_0^s E \left(e^{ip \cdot (X_s - X_r)} \right) dr ds \widehat{f}(\epsilon p) dp \\
&= \int_{R^d} \int_0^t \int_0^s e^{-(s-r)\psi(p)} dr ds \widehat{f}(\epsilon p) dp \\
&\leq Ct \int_{R^d} \frac{1}{|p|^\beta} \widehat{f}(\epsilon p) dp = O(t)
\end{aligned}$$

if $\beta < d$. (When $\beta = d$ we can easily obtain $O(t^{1+\delta})$ for any $\delta > 0$). Using (3.20) we conclude that for any $\lambda > 0$

$$(4.12) \quad \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log E(\exp\{\lambda|\gamma_t - \int_0^t \int_0^s f_\epsilon(X_s - X_r) dr ds|^{1/2}\}) = 0.$$

Hence using (4.10) together with the argument used to take the $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ limit in [8] and then recalling (4.4)

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.13) \quad & \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log E \exp \left\{ \lambda |\gamma_t|^{1/2} \right\} \\
&= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{g \in \mathcal{F}_\psi} \left\{ \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\int_{R^d} |(g^2 * h_\epsilon)(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} - \mathcal{E}_\psi(g, g) \right\} \\
&= \sup_{g \in \mathcal{F}_\psi} \left\{ \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\int_{R^d} g^4(x) dx \right)^{1/2} - \mathcal{E}_\psi(g, g) \right\} \\
&= \left(\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^{\frac{2\beta}{2\beta-d}} M_\psi.
\end{aligned}$$

By the Gärtner-Ellis Theorem, [9, Theorem 2.3.6]

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.14) \quad & \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log P(|\gamma_t| \geq t^2) \\
&= - \sup_{\lambda > 0} \left\{ \lambda - \left(\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^{\frac{2\beta}{2\beta-d}} M_\psi \right\} = -2^{\frac{\beta}{d}-1} \frac{d}{\beta} \left(\frac{2\beta-d}{2\beta M_\psi} \right)^{\frac{2\beta-d}{d}}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, writing $\gamma_t = \gamma_t^+ - \gamma_t^-$ and using the positivity of $\int_0^t \int_0^s f_\epsilon(X_s - X_r) dr ds$ and (4.12) we have that for any λ

$$(4.15) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log E(\exp\{\lambda|\gamma_t^-|^{1/2}\}) = 0.$$

Our Theorem then follows. □

5 The lower tail; $\beta < d$

Proof of Theorem 2 when $\beta < d$: For each bounded Borel set $A \subseteq R_+^2$ we set $\gamma(A) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \gamma_\epsilon(A)$, recall (3.1). This limit is known to exist. Let $\Gamma([s, t]) := \gamma(\{(u, v) \mid s \leq u \leq v \leq t\})$ and with $[0, s; s, t] = \{(u, v) \mid 0 \leq u \leq s \leq v \leq t\}$ note that $\gamma([0, s; s, t]) \stackrel{d}{=} \{\alpha_{s, t-s}\}_0$. Thus for any positive s and t ,

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \gamma_{s+t} &= \gamma_s + \Gamma([s, s+t]) + \gamma([0, s]; [s, s+t]) \\ &\geq \gamma_s + \Gamma([s, s+t]) - E\alpha([0, s]; [s, s+t]). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\gamma_s \in \mathcal{F}_s = \sigma(X_r, 0 \leq r \leq s)$, $\Gamma([s, s+t])$ is independent of \mathcal{F}_s , and $\Gamma([s, s+t])$ has the same distribution as γ_t . Define

$$(5.2) \quad Z_t = c_\psi t^{2-d/\beta} - \gamma_t, \quad Z_{s,t} = c_\psi t^{2-d/\beta} - \Gamma([s, s+t]).$$

By the above $\{Z_{s,t}; t \geq 0\}$ is independent of $\{Z_u; u \leq s\}$ and we have $\{Z_{s,t}; t \geq 0\} \stackrel{d}{=} \{Z_t; t \geq 0\}$. Using (5.1) and Theorem 6 we have that for any $s, t > 0$,

$$(5.3) \quad Z_{s+t} \leq Z_s + Z_{s,t}.$$

Given $a > 0$, define

$$\tau_a = \inf\{s; Z_s \geq a\}.$$

By continuity $Z_{\tau_a} = a$ on $\tau_a < \infty$. Let

$$(5.4) \quad \phi(h) = \sup_{\substack{0 \leq s, t \leq 1 \\ |t-s| \leq h}} |Z_t - Z_s|.$$

Fix $a, b, n > 0$ and $0 < \delta < a, b$.

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} &P\left(\sup_{t \leq 1} Z_t \geq a + b, \phi(1/n) \leq \delta\right) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} P\left(\sup_{t \leq 1} Z_t \geq a + b, \phi(1/n) \leq \delta, j/n \leq \tau_a < (j+1)/n\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} P \left(\sup_{t \leq 1} Z_{(j+1)/n,t} \geq b - \delta, \quad j/n \leq \tau_a < (j+1)/n \right) \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} P \left(\sup_{t \leq 1} Z_{(j+1)/n,t} \geq b - \delta \right) P(j/n \leq \tau_a < (j+1)/n) \\
&\leq P \left(\sup_{t \leq 1} Z_t \geq a \right) P \left(\sup_{t \leq 1} Z_t \geq b - \delta \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Using the continuity of Z_s and first taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ and then $\delta \rightarrow 0$ we obtain

$$(5.6) \quad P \left(\sup_{t \leq 1} Z_t \geq a + b \right) \leq P \left(\sup_{t \leq 1} Z_t \geq a \right) P \left(\sup_{t \leq 1} Z_t \geq b \right).$$

Hence, there is $c > 0$ such that for some $\lambda_0 < \infty$

$$(5.7) \quad P \left(\sup_{t \leq 1} Z_t \geq \lambda \right) \leq e^{-c\lambda}, \quad \forall \lambda > \lambda_0$$

so that

$$(5.8) \quad E \exp \left\{ c_0 \sup_{t \leq 1} Z_t \right\} < \infty$$

for some $c_0 > 0$. Then by the sub-additivity (5.3) and what we have just proven, there is $c_0 > 0$ such that

$$E \exp \left\{ c_0 \sup_{t \leq n} Z_t \right\} \leq \left(E \exp \left\{ c_0 \sup_{t \leq 1} Z_t \right\} \right)^n < \infty$$

for all n . Then by the scaling (1.10) we see that (5.8) holds for all $c_0 > 0$. Therefore, we have

$$(5.9) \quad E \exp \left\{ c \sup_{t \leq n} \{-\gamma_t\} \right\} < \infty, \quad \forall c, n > 0.$$

Setting now

$$a_\lambda(t) = \log \left(E \exp \{ \lambda Z_t \} \right),$$

by the sub-additivity (5.3) we have that for any positive s, t, λ ,

$$(5.10) \quad a_\lambda(s+t) \leq a_\lambda(s) + a_\lambda(t).$$

Consequently,

$$(5.11) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} a_\lambda(t) = \inf_{t \geq 1} \left\{ \frac{1}{t} a_\lambda(t) \right\} := L_\lambda < \infty$$

where the last inequality follows from (5.9). Note that

$$a_\lambda(t) = \lambda c_\psi t^{2-d/\beta} + \log \left(E \exp \{ - \lambda \gamma_t \} \right)$$

with $2 - d/\beta < 1$, so that (5.11) implies that for any $\lambda > 0$

$$(5.12) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \left(E \exp \{ - \lambda \gamma_t \} \right) = L_\lambda < \infty.$$

It follows from Theorem 8, immediately following, that $L_{\lambda_0} > 0$ for some $0 < \lambda_0 < \infty$. Using the scaling (1.10) it follows from (5.12) that for any $\lambda > 0$

$$(5.13) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \left(E \exp \{ - \lambda \gamma_t \} \right) = \lambda^{\beta/(2\beta-d)} \lambda_0^{-\beta/(2\beta-d)} L_{\lambda_0}.$$

It then follows by the Gärtner-Ellis Theorem, compare (4.13)-(4.14), that

$$(5.14) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-1} \log P(-\gamma_t \geq t) = -b_\psi$$

with $b_\psi = \left(\frac{d-\beta}{\beta} \right) \left(\frac{2\beta-d}{\beta L_{\lambda_0}} \right)^{\frac{2\beta-d}{d-\beta}} \lambda_0^{\beta/(d-\beta)}$. Note that it follows from (5.13) that $\lambda_0^{-\beta/(2\beta-d)} L_{\lambda_0}$ is independent of the particular λ_0 chosen so the same will be true of b_ψ . This will complete the proof of Theorem 2 when $\beta < d$. \square

Theorem 8 *Let X_t be a symmetric stable process of order $\beta \in (2d/3, d)$ in R^d . There exist constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that*

$$(5.15) \quad P(-\gamma_n \geq c_1 n) \geq c_2^n.$$

The idea of the proof is the following. Let ϵ be small, $M = \epsilon^{-1}$, and Q_k the square with one diagonal going from the point $(Mk - 4\epsilon, 0)$ to the point $(M(k+1) + 4\epsilon, 0)$. By scaling and some easy estimates we show that for each k there is probability on the order of ϵ to a power that X_t lies in Q_k when $t \in [k, k+1]$ and also the renormalized self-intersection local time of that portion of the path of X is not too small. Provided the intersection local times between consecutive portions of the path are not too large, we can then use the Markov property n times to obtain the result of Theorem 8. The intersection local time of consecutive portions of the path may be viewed as the intersection local time of two independent stable processes. We use the representation of this intersection local time as an additive functional

along the lines of [3] to obtain a suitable upper bound on its size except for a set whose probability decreases faster than any power of ϵ . We then take ϵ sufficiently small, but fixed.

Proof of Theorem 8: Let $A(I; J)$ denote the intersection local time between $X(I)$ and $X(J)$, where $X(I) = \{X_s : s \in I\}$ for an interval I and let $\Gamma(I)$ denote the renormalized self-intersection local time of $X(I)$. $\epsilon < 1/4$ will be chosen later. Set $M = \epsilon^{-1}$. First of all, $-\Gamma([0, 1])$ has mean 0 and is not identically zero. So there exist positive constants κ_1, κ_2 not depending on ϵ such that

$$P(-\Gamma([0, 1]) > \kappa_1) > \kappa_2.$$

By scaling,

$$P(-\Gamma([\epsilon^2, 1 - \epsilon^2]) > \kappa_1/2) > \kappa_2.$$

If we choose ϵ small enough, by the fact that the paths of X_t are right continuous with left limits,

$$P\left(\sup_{\epsilon^2 \leq s \leq 1 - \epsilon^2} |X_s - X_{\epsilon^2}| > M/2\right) \leq \kappa_2/2.$$

Therefore if

$$E_1 = \left\{ -\Gamma([\epsilon^2, 1 - \epsilon^2]) > \kappa_1/2, \sup_{\epsilon^2 \leq s \leq 1 - \epsilon^2} |X_s - X_{\epsilon^2}| \leq M/2 \right\},$$

then

$$P(E_1) \geq \kappa_2/2.$$

Let $B(x, r)$ denote the open ball in R^d of radius r centered at x . Let $S_k = B((Mk, 0), \epsilon^2)$, that is, the ball with center at the point $(Mk, 0)$ and radius ϵ , and let Q_k be the square which has one diagonal going from $(Mk - 4\epsilon, 0)$ to $(M(k + 1) + 4\epsilon, 0)$. Let z_k be the center of Q_k , that is, $z_k = (M(k + \frac{1}{2}), 0)$. Let

$$E_2 = \left\{ X_{\epsilon^2} \in B(z_k, 1) \text{ and } X_s \in Q_k \text{ for } s \in [0, \epsilon^2] \right\}.$$

Let

$$E_3 = \left\{ X_{\epsilon^2} \in S_{k+1} \text{ and } X_s \in Q_k \text{ for } s \in [0, \epsilon^2] \right\}.$$

As usual we use P^x for the probability when our process X is started at x .

Lemma 3 (a) *There exists c_3 such that if $x \in S_k$ and ϵ is sufficiently small, then*

$$P^x(E_2) \geq c_3 \epsilon^{4+\beta}.$$

(b) If $x \in B(z_k, M/2)$ and ϵ is sufficiently small, then

$$P^x(E_3) \geq c_3 \epsilon^{6+\beta}.$$

Proof of Lemma 3: (a) Let $\tau = \inf\{t : |X_t - X_0| > \epsilon/2\}$. By scaling and the fact that $\beta > 1$, we have $P(\sup_{s \leq \epsilon^2} |X_s - X_0| > \epsilon/2) \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. So by taking ϵ small enough, we may assume that

$$P^x(\tau \leq \epsilon^2) \leq 1/2$$

for all x .

By the Lévy system formula for right continuous stable processes (see [2], Proposition 2.3, for example),

$$\begin{aligned} (5.16) \quad P^x(X_{\tau \wedge \epsilon^2} \in B(z_k, 1/2)) &\geq E^x \sum_{s \leq \tau \wedge \epsilon^2} 1_{(X_{s-} \in B((Mk, 0), \epsilon/2))} 1_{(X_s \in B(z_k, 1/2))} \\ &= E^x \int_0^{\tau \wedge \epsilon^2} \int_{B(z_k, 1/2)} n(X_s, z) dz ds, \end{aligned}$$

where $n(y, z) = c_4 |y - z|^{-2-\beta}$. Since $n(y, z)$ is bounded below by $c_4 M^{-2-\beta}$ if $y \in B((Mk, 0), 2\epsilon)$ and $z \in B(z_k, 1/2)$, we see

$$\begin{aligned} (5.17) \quad P^x(X_{\tau \wedge \epsilon^2} \in B(z_k, 1/2)) &\geq c_4 \epsilon^{2+\beta} E^x[\tau \wedge \epsilon^2] \geq c_4 \epsilon^{2+\beta} E^x[\epsilon^2; \tau > \epsilon^2] \\ &= c_4 \epsilon^{2+\beta} \epsilon^2 P^x(\tau > \epsilon^2) \geq c_3 \epsilon^{4+\beta} / 2. \end{aligned}$$

We noted in the first paragraph of the proof that there is probability at least $1/2$ that X_t moves no more than $\epsilon/2$ in time ϵ^2 . So by using the strong Markov property at time τ , there is probability at least $c_4 \epsilon^{4+\beta} / 4$ that X_t exits S_k by time ϵ^2 , jumps to $B(z_k, 1/2)$, and then stays in $B(z_k, 1)$ until time $\tau + \epsilon^2$. But this event is contained in E_2 .

(b) The proof of (b) is similar. Using the Lévy system formula,

$$P^x(X_{\tau \wedge \epsilon^2} \in B((M(k+1), 0), \epsilon/2)) \geq E^x \int_0^{\tau \wedge \epsilon^2} \int_{B((M(k+1), 0), \epsilon/2)} n(X_s, z) dz ds.$$

This in turn is greater than or equal to

$$c_5 \epsilon^2 M^{-2-\beta} E^x[\tau \wedge \epsilon^2] \geq c_6 \epsilon^{6+\beta}.$$

We chose ϵ so that the probability that X_t moves no more than $\epsilon/2$ in time ϵ^2 is at least $1/2$. Using the strong Markov property at time τ , there is

probability at least $c_6\epsilon^{6+\beta}/2$ that the process exits $B(x, \epsilon/2)$ by time ϵ^2 , jumps to $B((M(k+1), 0), \epsilon/2)$, and then moves no more than $\epsilon/2$ in time ϵ^2 . This event is contained in E_3 , and (b) follows. This completes the proof of Lemma 3. \square

Let

$$E'_3 = E_3 \circ \theta_{1-\epsilon^2} = \{X_1 \in S_{k+1} \text{ and } X_s \in Q_k \text{ for } s \in [1 - \epsilon^2, 1]\}.$$

Using Lemma 3 and the Markov property at times ϵ^2 and $1 - \epsilon^2$,

$$(5.18) \quad P^x(E_1 \cap E_2 \cap E'_3) \geq c_3^2 \epsilon^{10+2\beta} \kappa_2/2.$$

Let

$$(5.19) \quad \begin{aligned} E_4 &= \{\Gamma[0, \epsilon^2] > \kappa_1/16\}, \\ E_5 &= \{\Gamma[1 - \epsilon^2, 1] > \kappa_1/16\}, \\ E_6 &= \{A([0, \epsilon^2]; [\epsilon^2, 1]) > \kappa_1/16\}, \\ E_7 &= \{A([0, 1 - \epsilon^2]; [1 - \epsilon^2, 1]) > \kappa_1/16\}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4 *There exist c_7, c_8 and b not depending on ϵ such that*

$$P(E_4) + P(E_5) + P(E_6) + P(E_7) \leq c_7 e^{-c_8/\epsilon^b}.$$

Proof of Lemma 4: The estimates for E_4 and E_5 follow from the scaling (1.10) and (1.14). By (2.16)

$$(5.20) \quad P(A[0, 1]; [1, 1+a]) > \lambda \leq c_9 e^{-c_{10} \lambda^{\beta/d} / a^{\beta/d-1/2}}.$$

This and scaling give us the desired estimates for E_6 and E_7 . This completes the proof of Lemma 4. \square

Recall that the *occupation measure* μ_T^X is defined as

$$\mu_t^X(A) = \int_0^t 1_A(X_s) ds$$

for all Borel sets $A \subseteq R^d$. If $p_s(x)$ is the probability density function for X_s and $u(x) = \int_0^\infty p_s(x) ds$ is the 0-potential density for X it is easily checked that

$$(5.21) \quad E^x \left(\left\{ \mu_\infty^X(A) \right\}^n \right) = n! \int \prod_{j=1}^n u(x_j - x_{j-1}) 1_A(x_j) dx_j$$

where $x_0 = x$. Hence if

$$(5.22) \quad c_A = \sup_x \int u(x-y)1_A(y) dy$$

we have that $\sup_x E^x \left(\left\{ \mu_\infty^X(A) \right\}^n \right) \leq n!c_A^n$ and thus

$$\sup_x E^x \left(\exp \left\{ \mu_\infty^X(A)/2c_A \right\} \right) \leq 2$$

so that by Chebyshev

$$(5.23) \quad \sup_x P^x \left(\mu_\infty^X(A) \geq 2\lambda c_A \right) \leq 2e^{-\lambda}.$$

Lemma 5 *Let $\delta \in (0, 2\beta - 2)$ and $M > 2$. There exist constants c_{11} and c_{12} depending only on M and δ such that*

$$(5.24) \quad P \left(\sup_{|x| \leq M, 0 < r \leq 1} \frac{\mu_\infty^X(B(x, r))}{r^{\beta-\delta}} > \lambda \right) \leq c_{11}M^2e^{-c_{12}\lambda}.$$

Proof of Lemma 5: First fix x and r . Since $u(y-z) \leq c_{13}|y-z|^{\beta-2}$, using symmetry $c_{B(x,r)}$ is bounded by

$$\int_{B(x,r)} c_{13}|x-z|^{\beta-2} dz = c_{14}r^\beta.$$

Applying (5.23),

$$(5.25) \quad P(\mu_\infty^X(B(x, r)) > \lambda r^{\beta-\delta}) \leq 2e^{-c_{15}\lambda r^{-\delta}}.$$

Suppose now that $\mu_\infty^X(B(x, r)) > \lambda r^{\beta-\delta}$ for some $|x| \leq M$ and some $r \in (0, 1)$. Choose k such that $2^{-k-1} \leq r < 2^{-k}$ and choose x' so that both coordinates of x' are integer multiples of 2^{-k} and $|x-x'| \leq 2^{-k+1}$. Therefore

$$\mu_\infty^X(B(x', 2^{-k+3})) > c_{16}\lambda(2^{-k+3})^{\beta-\delta},$$

where c_{16} does not depend on k .

Since there are at most $c_{17}M^22^{2k}$ points in $B(0, 2M)$ such that both coordinates are integer multiples of 2^{-k} , then if $2^{-k-1} \leq r < 2^{-k}$,

$$(5.26) \quad P \left(\sup_{|x| \leq M} \frac{\mu_\infty^X(B(x, r))}{r^{\beta-\delta}} > c_{16}\lambda \right) \leq c_{18}2^{2k}M^2e^{-c_{18}\lambda 2^{-\delta k}}.$$

Summing the right hand side of (5.26) over k from -4 to ∞ yields the right hand side of (5.24). This completes the proof of Lemma 5. \square

By Lemma 5 it follows that

$$(5.27) \quad P\left(\sup_{|x|\leq M, 0<r\leq 1} \frac{\mu_\infty^X(B(x,r))}{r^{\beta-\delta}} > \kappa_1 \log^2(1/\epsilon)/8\right) \leq c_3^2 \epsilon^{10+2\beta} \kappa_2/4$$

if ϵ is small enough.

Let $\mu_{t,t'}^X(A) = \int_t^{t'} 1_A(X_s) ds$, set

$$D_k = \left\{ X_k \in S_k, X_{k+1} \in S_{k+1}, \text{ and for } k \leq s \leq k+1, X_s \in Q_k, \right. \\ \left. -\Gamma[0, 1] \geq \kappa_1/4, \sup_{|x|\leq M, 0<r\leq 1} \frac{\mu_{k,k+1}^X(B(x,r))}{r^{\beta-\delta}} \leq \kappa_1 \log^2(1/\epsilon)/8 \right\},$$

and recall that

$$\mathcal{F}_k = \sigma(X_v; v \leq k).$$

By (5.18), Lemma 4, (5.27) and the Markov property

$$(5.28) \quad P(D_k | \mathcal{F}_k) \geq c_{19} \epsilon^{10+2\beta} \kappa_2/4 \quad \text{on } D_{k-1}.$$

Let

$$F_k = \{A([k-1, k]; [k, k+1]) \leq \kappa_1/8\}, \quad F_0 = \Omega,$$

and

$$L_k = D_k \cap F_k.$$

Lemma 6 *Let $\delta \in (0, 2\beta - 2)$. We have*

$$(5.29) \quad P(F_k^c \cap D_k | \mathcal{F}_k) \leq c_{20} e^{-c_{21}/\epsilon^{2\beta-2-\delta}} \quad \text{on } \bigcap_{j=1}^{k-1} L_j.$$

Proof of Lemma 6: When $k = 0$ there is nothing to prove, so let us suppose $k \geq 1$. As before, $A([k-1, k]; [k, k+1])$ has the distribution of α_1 , and using the properties of D_{k-1}, D_k and the Markov property we have, recalling (2.1),

$$(5.30) \quad P(F_k^c \cap D_k | \mathcal{F}_k) \\ \leq \sup_{x \in S_k, X' \in D'_k} P_X^x \left(\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 f_\rho(X_s - X'_r) 1_{Q_k}(X_s) dr ds \geq \kappa_1/8 \right)$$

where P_X^x denotes probability with respect to the process X , while the independent process X' is fixed, and

$$D'_k = \left\{ \mu_1^{X'}(\cdot) \text{ is supported on } Q_{k-1}, \sup_{|x| \leq M, 0 < r \leq 1} \frac{\mu_1^{X'}(B(x, r))}{r^{\beta-\delta}} \leq \kappa_1 \log^2(1/\epsilon)/8 \right\}.$$

In (5.30) we can and will take f to be supported in $B(0, 1)$. To bound the probability in (5.30) we note that

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 f_\rho(X_s - X'_r) 1_{Q_k}(X_s) dr ds \leq \liminf_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \int_0^\infty \int_0^1 f_\rho(X_s - X'_r) 1_{Q_k}(X_s) dr ds$$

and by Fatou

$$\begin{aligned} (5.31) \quad & E_X^x \left(\left\{ \liminf_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \int_0^\infty \int_0^1 f_\rho(X_s - X'_r) 1_{Q_k}(X_s) dr ds \right\}^n \right) \\ & \leq n! \liminf_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \int_{[0,1]^{nd}} \int_{R^{nd}} \prod_{j=1}^n u(x_j - x_{j-1}) f_\rho(x_j - X'_{r_j}) 1_{Q_k}(x_j) dx_j dr_j \\ & = n! \liminf_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \int_{R^{nd}} \prod_{j=1}^n u(x_j - x_{j-1}) 1_{Q_k}(x_j) d\mu_{1,\rho}^{X'}(x_j) \end{aligned}$$

with $x_0 = x$ and $d\mu_{1,\rho}^{X'}(x) = \int_0^1 f_\rho(x - X'_r) dr dx$. As in the proof of (5.23) it then follows that $P(F_k^c \cap D_k | \mathcal{F}_k) \leq c_{22} e^{-c_{23}/\bar{c}}$ where

$$(5.32) \quad \bar{c} = \sup_{0 < \rho < \epsilon} \sup_{x \in Q_{k-1} \cap Q_k, X' \in D'_k} \int_{R^d} u(y - x) 1_{Q_k}(y) d\mu_{1,\rho}^{X'}(y).$$

It is easily checked that if $X' \in D'_k$, then uniformly in $\rho < \epsilon$ and $0 < r \leq 1 - \epsilon$

$$(5.33) \quad \sup_{|x| \leq M - \epsilon} \mu_{1,\rho}^{X'}(B(x, r)) \leq cr^{\beta-\delta} \log^2(1/\epsilon)$$

and $\mu_{1,\rho}^{X'}$ is supported on $Q_{k-1,\epsilon} = \{z | \inf_{v \in Q_{k-1}} |z - v| \leq \epsilon\}$. Since $Q_{k-1,\epsilon} \cap Q_k \subset B((Mk, 0), 16\epsilon)$, if we choose k_0 so that $32\epsilon \geq 2^{-k_0} \geq 16\epsilon$, we have that the right hand side of (5.32) is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} (5.34) \quad & \sum_{k=k_0}^\infty \int_{B(x, 2^{-k}) \setminus B(x, 2^{-k-1})} u(y - x) d\mu_{1,\rho}^{X'}(y) \\ & \leq c_{24} \sum_{k=k_0}^\infty (2^{-k})^{\beta-2} \mu_{1,\rho}^{X'}(B(x, 2^{-k})) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq c_{25} \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} 2^{-k(\beta-2)} (2^{-k})^{\beta-\delta} \\
&= c_{25} \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} 2^{-k(2\beta-2-\delta)} \leq c_{26} \epsilon^{2\beta-2-\delta}.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 6. \square

If ϵ is small enough, we thus conclude from (5.28) and (5.29) that

$$(5.35) \quad P(L_k \mid \mathcal{F}_k) \geq c_{27} \epsilon^{10+2\beta} \kappa_2 / 8 \quad \text{on} \quad \cap_{j=1}^{k-1} L_j.$$

Take ϵ sufficiently small, but now fix it, and let $\kappa_3 = c_{27} \epsilon^{4+\beta} \kappa_2 / 8$. We have

$$P(\cap_{j=1}^k L_j) = E[P(L_k \mid \mathcal{F}_k); \cap_{j=1}^{k-1} L_j] \geq \kappa_3 P(\cap_{j=1}^{k-1} L_j).$$

By induction,

$$P(\cap_{j=1}^n L_j) \geq \kappa_3^n.$$

On the event $M_n = \cap_{j=1}^n L_j$ we have that $X_s \in Q_k$ if $k \leq s \leq k+1$, and so there are no intersections between $X(I_i)$ and $X(I_j)$ if $|i-j| > 1$, where $I_i = [i, i+1]$. Furthermore, on M_n , we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^n -\Gamma(I_k) \geq \kappa_1 n / 4,$$

while

$$\sum_{k=0}^n A(I_k; I_{k+1}) \leq \kappa_1 n / 8.$$

Since

$$-\Gamma([0, n]) \geq \sum_{k=0}^n -\Gamma(I_k) - \sum_{k=0}^n A(I_k; I_{k+1}) \geq \kappa_1 n / 8$$

on the event M_n and $P(M_n) \geq \kappa_3^n$, Theorem 8 is proved. \square

6 The lower tail; $\beta = d$

In this section we prove Theorem 2 in the critical cases where $\beta = d$. This includes planar Brownian motion and the one-dimensional symmetric Cauchy process.

By the last two lines of Theorem 6 we have

$$(6.1) \quad E(\alpha(s, t)) = p_1(0) \left\{ (s+t) \log(s+t) - s \log s - t \log t \right\}.$$

Write

$$(6.2) \quad \eta_t = -\gamma_t - p_1(0)t \log t$$

We have that $\eta_0 = 0$ and, as in the proof of (5.3), for any $s, t > 0$, $\eta_{s+t} \leq \eta_s + \eta_{s,t}$, where $\eta_{s,t} = -\gamma(\{(u, v) | s \leq u \leq v \leq s+t\}) - p_1(0)t \log t$. For each fixed $s > 0$, $\{\eta_{s,v}; v \geq 0\}$ is independent of $\{\eta_u; u \leq s\}$ and $\eta_{s,t} \stackrel{d}{=} \eta_t$. So by the argument used to obtain (5.9) and (5.10) we obtain

$$(6.3) \quad E \left(\exp \left\{ c \sup_{t \leq 1} \eta_t \right\} \right) < \infty, \quad \forall c > 0,$$

and

$$(6.4) \quad E \left(\exp \left\{ \frac{1}{p_1(0)} \eta_{s+t} \right\} \right) \leq E \left(\exp \left\{ \frac{1}{p_1(0)} \eta_s \right\} \right) E \left(\exp \left\{ \frac{1}{p_1(0)} \eta_t \right\} \right), \quad \forall s, t \geq 0.$$

Therefore there is a constant $-\infty \leq A < \infty$ such that

$$(6.5) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-1} \log E \left(\exp \left\{ \frac{1}{p_1(0)} \eta_t \right\} \right) = A$$

or equivalently

$$(6.6) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-1} \log \left(t^{-t} E \left(\exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{p_1(0)} \gamma_t \right\} \right) \right) = A.$$

Take $t = n$ to be an integer. By scaling and Stirling's formula,

$$(6.7) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \left((n!)^{-1} E \left(\exp \left\{ -\frac{n}{p_1(0)} \gamma_1 \right\} \right) \right) = A + 1.$$

By [12, Lemma 2.3]

$$(6.8) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-1} \log P \left(\exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{p_1(0)} \gamma_1 \right\} \geq t \right) = -e^{-A-1} \equiv -b_\psi$$

or equivalently

$$(6.9) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-1} \log P \left(-\gamma_1 \geq p_1(0) \log t \right) = -L$$

which proves (1.9). It remains to show that $b_\psi < \infty$. That $b_\psi < \infty$ for the $\beta = d = 2$ case was shown in [4, Section 5]. A very similar proof takes care of the $\beta = d = 1$ case. Note that the proof in [4] does not rely on the continuity of Brownian paths. Instead of the $t^{1/2}$ scaling there, we now have t^1 scaling. Instead of $1/(2\pi)$, we now have $p_1(0)$, which in the $\beta = d = 1$ case is equal to $1/\pi$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2. \square

7 The limsup result

Proof of Theorem 3: We begin with a lemma.

Lemma 7 *If $a < a_\psi$, there exists $C < \infty$ such that*

$$(7.1) \quad P\left(\sup_{t \leq 1} \gamma_t \geq u^{d/\beta}\right) \leq Ce^{-au}, \quad u > 0.$$

Proof of Lemma 7: It follows from (4.8) and scaling that

$$(7.2) \quad \sup_{t \leq 1} P\left(\gamma_t \geq u^{d/\beta}\right) \leq Ce^{-au}, \quad u > 0.$$

Let $\Gamma([s, t]) := \gamma(\{(u, v) \mid s \leq u \leq v \leq t\})$. For any $s < t$

$$(7.3) \quad \gamma_t - \gamma_s = \gamma([0, s; s, t]) + \Gamma([s, t])$$

with $\gamma([0, s; s, t]) \stackrel{d}{=} \{\alpha_{s, t-s}\}_0$ and $\Gamma([s, t]) \stackrel{d}{=} \gamma_{t-s}$.

Using (7.3) it then follows from (2.16) and (3.21) that for some $\theta > 0$

$$(7.4) \quad \sup_{s < t \leq 1} E\left(\exp\left\{\theta \left|\frac{\gamma_t - \gamma_s}{(t-s)^{1-d/2\beta}}\right|^{\beta/d}\right\}\right) < \infty$$

hence by Chebyshev that for some $c > 0$

$$(7.5) \quad P\left(|\gamma_t - \gamma_s| \geq u^{d/\beta}\right) \leq Ce^{-cu/(t-s)^\zeta}, \quad u > 0$$

uniformly in $0 \leq s < t \leq 1$ where $\zeta = \beta/d - 1/2 > 0$. Our lemma then follows from the chaining argument used in the proof of Proposition 4.1 of [4]. \square

It is now straightforward to use scaling and Borel-Cantelli to get

Lemma 8

$$(7.6) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\gamma_t}{t^{(2-d/\beta)}(\log \log t)^{d/\beta}} \leq a_\psi^{-d/\beta} \quad a.s.$$

Proof of Lemma 8: Let $M > 1/a_\psi$. Choose $\epsilon > 0$ small and $q > 1$ close to 1 so that $M(a_\psi - 2\epsilon)/q^{2\zeta} > 1$. Let $t_n = q^n$ and let

$$(7.7) \quad C_n = \left\{ \sup_{s \leq t_n} \gamma_s > t_{n-1}^{(2-d/\beta)} (M \log \log t_{n-1})^{d/\beta} \right\}.$$

By Lemma 7 and scaling the probability of C_n is bounded by

$$c_1 e^{-(a_\psi - \epsilon)M(t_{n-1}/t_n)^{2\zeta} \log \log t_{n-1}}.$$

By our choices of ϵ and q this is summable, so by Borel-Cantelli the probability that C_n happens infinitely often is zero. To complete the proof we point out that if $\gamma_t > t^{(2-d/\beta)}(M \log \log t)^{d/\beta}$ for some $t \in [t_{n-1}, t_n]$, then the event C_n occurs. This completes the proof of Lemma 8. \square

To finish the proof of Theorem 3 we prove

Lemma 9

$$(7.8) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\gamma_t}{t^{(2-d/\beta)}(\log \log t)^{d/\beta}} \geq a_\psi^{-d/\beta} \quad a.s.$$

Proof of Lemma 9: Let $a > a_\psi$ and let a' be the midpoint of (a_ψ, a) . Then by (4.8)

$$(7.9) \quad P(\gamma_1 \geq (u \log \log t)^{d/\beta}) \geq c_2 e^{-a' u \log \log t}, \quad u > 0.$$

Let $\delta > 0$ be small enough so that $(1 + \delta)a'/a < 1$ and set $t_n = e^{n^{1+\delta}}$. Recall that $\Gamma([s, t]) \stackrel{d}{=} \gamma_{t-s}$. Using (7.9) and scaling, it is straightforward to obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P \left(\Gamma([t_{n-1}, t_n]) > t_n^{(2-d/\beta)} \left(\frac{\log \log t_n}{a} \right)^{d/\beta} \right) = \infty.$$

Using the fact that different pieces of the path of a stable process are independent and Borel-Cantelli,

$$(7.10) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Gamma([t_{n-1}, t_n])}{t_n^{(2-d/\beta)}(\log \log t_n)^{d/\beta}} > \frac{1}{a^{d/\beta}}, \quad a.s.$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$. From (3.21), scaling, and Borel–Cantelli it follows that

$$(7.11) \quad |\Gamma([0, t_{n-1}])| = |\gamma_{t_{n-1}}| = O(\epsilon t_n^{(2-d/\beta)} (\log \log t_n)^{d/\beta}), \quad a.s.$$

Since

$$(7.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \gamma_{t_n} &= \Gamma([0, t_n]) \\ &= \Gamma([t_{n-1}, t_n]) + \Gamma([0, t_{n-1}]) + \gamma([0, t_{n-1}]; [t_{n-1}, t_n]) \end{aligned}$$

and $\gamma([0, s]; [s, t]) \stackrel{d}{=} \{\alpha_{s,t-s}\}_0$ with $\alpha_{s,t-s} \geq 0$, we have our result from (7.10), (7.11), (7.12) and the fact, from Theorem 6, that

$$E\alpha_{t_{n-1}, t_n - t_{n-1}} \leq E\alpha_{t_n} = c_6 t_n^{(2-d/\beta)} = o(t_n^{(2-d/\beta)} (\log \log t_n)^{d/\beta}).$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 9. □

Lemmas 8 and Lemma 9 together imply Theorem 3. □

8 The liminf result

Proof of Theorem 4: We consider first the case when $\beta < d$. Let $D_t = -\gamma_t$. We begin with a lemma.

Lemma 10 *If $b < b_\psi$, there exists $C < \infty$ such that*

$$(8.1) \quad P\left(\sup_{t \leq 1} D_t \geq u^{d/\beta-1}\right) \leq C e^{-bu}, \quad u > 0.$$

Proof of Lemma 10: It follows from (1.8) and scaling (1.10) that

$$(8.2) \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} u^{-1} \log P(D_1 \geq u^{d/\beta-1}) = -b_\psi.$$

Scaling once more shows that for any $t > 0$

$$(8.3) \quad P(D_t \geq u^{d/\beta-1}) \leq C e^{-bu/t^\eta}, \quad u > 0$$

with $\eta = (2 - d/\beta)/(d/\beta - 1) > 0$. For any $s < t$

$$(8.4) \quad \begin{aligned} D_t - D_s &= -\gamma([0, s; s, t]) - \Gamma([s, t]) \\ &\leq E(\alpha_{s,t-s}) - \Gamma([s, t]) \\ &\leq c_\psi (t-s)^{2-2/\beta} - \Gamma([s, t]) \end{aligned}$$

with $-\Gamma([s, t]) := D_{t-s}$ and we have used Theorem 6

$$(8.5) \quad E(\alpha_{s,t-s}) = c_\psi [s^{2-2/\beta} + (t-s)^{2-2/\beta} - t^{2-2/\beta}] \leq c_\psi (t-s)^{2-2/\beta}.$$

Our lemma then follows from the chaining argument used to in the proof of Proposition 4.1 of [4]. \square

It is now straightforward to use scaling and Borel-Cantelli to get

Lemma 11

$$(8.6) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{D_t}{t^{(2-d/\beta)} (\log \log t)^{d/\beta-1}} \leq b_\psi^{-(d/\beta-1)} \quad a.s.$$

Proof of Lemma 11: Let $M > 1/b_\psi$. Choose $\epsilon > 0$ small and $q > 1$ close to 1 so that $M(b_\psi - 2\epsilon)/q^\rho > 1$. Let $t_n = q^n$ and let

$$(8.7) \quad C_n = \left\{ \sup_{s \leq t_n} D_s > t_{n-1}^{(2-d/\beta)} (M \log \log t_{n-1})^{d/\beta-1} \right\}.$$

By Lemma 7 and scaling the probability of C_n is bounded by

$$c_1 e^{-(b_\psi - \epsilon)M(t_{n-1}/t_n)^\rho \log \log t_{n-1}}.$$

By our choices of ϵ and q this is summable, so by Borel-Cantelli the probability that C_n happens infinitely often is zero. To complete the proof we point out that if $D_t > t^{(2-d/\beta)} (M \log \log t)^{d/\beta-1}$ for some $t \in [t_{n-1}, t_n]$, then the event C_n occurs. This completes the proof of Lemma 11. \square

To finish the proof of Theorem 4 when $\beta < d$ we prove

Lemma 12

$$(8.8) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{D_t}{t^{(2-d/\beta)} (\log \log t)^{d/\beta-1}} \geq b_\psi^{-(d/\beta-1)} \quad a.s.$$

Proof of Lemma 12: Let $b > b_\psi$ and let b' be the midpoint of (b_ψ, b) . Then by (8.2)

$$(8.9) \quad P(D_1 \geq (u \log \log t)^{d/\beta-1}) \geq c_2 e^{-b'u \log \log t}, \quad u > 0.$$

Let $\delta > 0$ be small enough so that $(1 + \delta)b'/b < 1$ and set $t_n = e^{n^{1+\delta}}$. Recall that $\Gamma([s, t]) \stackrel{d}{=} \gamma_{t-s}$. Using (8.9) and scaling, it is straightforward to obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P \left(-\Gamma([t_{n-1}, t_n]) > t_n^{(2-d/\beta)} \left(\frac{\log \log t_n}{b} \right)^{d/\beta-1} \right) = \infty.$$

Using the fact that different pieces of the path of a stable process are independent and Borel-Cantelli,

$$(8.10) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-\Gamma([t_{n-1}, t_n])}{t_n^{(2-d/\beta)} (\log \log t_n)^{d/\beta-1}} > \frac{1}{b^{d/\beta-1}}, \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$. From (3.21), scaling, and Borel-Cantelli it follows that

$$(8.11) \quad |\Gamma([0, t_{n-1}])| = |\gamma_{t_{n-1}}| = O(\epsilon t_n^{(2-d/\beta)} (\log \log t_n)^{d/\beta-1}), \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Note that

$$(8.12) \quad \begin{aligned} D_{t_n} &= -\Gamma([0, t_n]) \\ &= -\Gamma([t_{n-1}, t_n]) - \Gamma([0, t_{n-1}]) - \gamma([0, t_{n-1}]; [t_{n-1}, t_n]) \end{aligned}$$

and $\gamma([0, s]; [s, t]) \stackrel{d}{=} \{\alpha_{s, t-s}\}_0$. Using (2.16)

$$(8.13) \quad \begin{aligned} &P(\alpha([0, t_{n-1}]; [t_{n-1}, t_n]) > t_n^{(2-d/\beta)}) \\ &\leq P \left(\frac{\alpha([0, t_{n-1}]; [t_{n-1}, t_n])}{(t_{n-1}(t_n - t_{n-1}))^{(1-d/2\beta)}} > (t_n/t_{n-1})^{(1-d/2\beta)} \right) \\ &\leq e^{-(t_n/t_{n-1})^{(\beta/d-1/2)}} \end{aligned}$$

which is summable. Using Borel-Cantelli, we have

$$(8.14) \quad \alpha([0, t_{n-1}]; [t_{n-1}, t_n]) = o(t_n^{(2-d/\beta)} (\log \log t_n)^{d/\beta-1}).$$

Substituting this, (8.10) and (8.11) in (8.12) completes the proof of Lemma 12. \square

Lemmas 11 and 12 together imply Theorem 4 when $\beta < d$. The case of $\beta = d$ follows from (6.9) and the proof of [4, Theorem 1.5]. \square

References

1. R.F. Bass, *Probabilistic Techniques in Analysis*, Springer, New York, 1995.
2. R.F. Bass and D.A. Levin, Harnack inequalities for jump processes. *Pot. Anal.* **17** (2002) 375-388.
3. R.F. Bass and D. Khoshnevisan, Intersection local times and Tanaka formulas, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Prob. Stat.* **29** (1993) 419–452.
4. R.F. Bass and X. Chen, Self intersection local time: critical exponent, large deviations and law of the iterated logarithm. *Ann. Probab.* (to appear).
5. X. Chen, Exponential asymptotics and law of the iterated logarithm for intersection local times of random walks. *Ann. Probab.* (to appear).
6. X. Chen and W. Li, Large and moderate deviations for intersection local times. *Probab. Theor. Rel. Fields* **128** (2004) 213-254.
7. X. Chen, W. Li and J. Rosen, Large deviations for local times of stable processes and stable random walks in 1 dimension. Submitted.
8. X. Chen and J. Rosen, Exponential asymptotics for intersection local times of stable processes. Submitted.
9. A. Dembo and O. Zeitouni. *Large Deviations Techniques and Applications. (2nd ed.)*. Springer, New York, 1998.
10. E. B. Dynkin, Self-intersection gauge for random walks and for Brownian motion, *Ann. Probab.* **16** (1988) 1–57.
11. E. Gine and V. de la Pena, *Decoupling*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
12. W. König and P. Mörters, Brownian intersection local times: upper tail asymptotics and thick points. *Ann. Probab.* **30** (2002) 1605–1656.
13. J.-F. Le Gall, Exponential moments for the renormalized self-intersection local time of planar Brownian motion. *Séminaire de Probabilités, XXVIII*, 172–180. Springer, Berlin, 1994.

14. J.-F. Le Gall. Propriétés d'intersection des marches aléatoires. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **104** (1986) 471–507.
15. J.-F. Le Gall. Fluctuation results for the Wiener sausage. *Ann. Probab.* **16** (1988) 991–1018.
16. J.-F. Le Gall. *Some properties of planar Brownian motion*, École d'été de probabilités de St. Flour XX, 1990 (Berlin), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1527, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
17. J.-F. Le Gall and J. Rosen, The range of stable random walks. *Ann. Probab.* **19** (1991) 650–705.
18. M. Ledoux and M. Talagrand, *Probability in Banach Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.
19. M. Marcus and J. Rosen, Laws of the iterated logarithm for the local times of symmetric Lévy processes and recurrent random walks. *Ann. Probab.* **22** (1994) 626–659.
20. M. Marcus and J. Rosen, Laws of the iterated logarithm for the local times of recurrent random walks on Z^2 and of Lévy processes and random walks in the domain of attraction of Cauchy random variables. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré* **30** (1994) 467–499.
21. M. Marcus and J. Rosen, *Renormalized self-intersection local times and Wick power chaos processes*, Memoirs of the AMS, Volume 142, Number 675, Providence, AMS, 1999.
22. J. Rosen. Continuity and singularity of the intersection local time of stable processes in R^2 . *Ann. Probab.* **16** (1988) 75–79.
23. J. Rosen. Limit laws for the intersection local time of stable processes in R^2 . *Stochastics* **23** (1988), 219–240.
24. J. Rosen, Random walks and intersection local time. *Ann. Probab.* **18** (1990) 959–977.
25. J. Rosen. The asymptotics of stable sausages in the plane. *Ann. Probab.* **20** (1992) 29–60.

26. ———, Joint continuity of renormalized intersection local times, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Prob. Stat.* **32** (1996) 671–700.
27. J. Rosen. Dirichlet processes and an intrinsic characterization for renormalized intersection local times, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré* , **37** (2001), 403–420.
28. S. R. S. Varadhan. Appendix to Euclidian quantum field theory by K. Symanzyk. In R. Jost, editor, *Local Quantum Theory*. Academic Press, Reading, MA, 1969.

Richard Bass
Department of Mathematics
University of Connecticut
Storrs, CT 06269-3009
bass@math.uconn.edu

Xia Chen
Department of Mathematics
University of Tennessee
Knoxville, TN 37996-1300
xchen@math.utk.edu

Jay Rosen
Department of Mathematics
College of Staten Island, CUNY
Staten Island, NY 10314
jrosen3@earthlink.net